INNOVATIVE WORLD
Ilmiy tadqiqotlar markazi

ZAMONAVIY ILM-FAN VA INNOVATSIYALAR NAZARIYASI

ILMIY-AMALIY KONFERENSIYA

2026

Google Scholar doi zenodo OpenAIRE

Andijan, Uzbekistan +998335668868 https://innoworld.net

« ZAMONAVIY ILM-FAN VA INNOVATSIYALAR NAZARIYASI » NOMLI ILMIY, MASOFAVIY, ONLAYN KONFERENSIYASI TO‘PLAMI

3-JILD 5-SON

Konferensiya to’plami va tezislar quyidagi xalqaro ilmiy bazalarda indexlanadi

Google Scholar · doi digital object identifier · ResearchGate · zenodo · ADVANCED SCIENCE INDEX · OpenAIRE · Academic Resource Index ResearchBib · DRJI Directory of Research Journals Indexing

www.innoworld.net

O‘ZBEKISTON-2026

Tel:+99833 5668868 | Telegram: @Anvarbek_PhD

THE TRANSFORMATIVE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ESL EDUCATION

Khudayarova Gulnoza Alisherovna
Intern-teacher of Urgench Innovation University

Abstract. This article examines the transformative impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on English as a Second Language (ESL) education. As AI technologies — including large language models, intelligent tutoring systems, automatic speech recognition, and adaptive learning platforms — become increasingly integrated into language classrooms, they are reshaping how English is taught, learned, and assessed. Drawing on recent empirical and theoretical literature, the paper analyzes AI's contributions to personalized learning, pronunciation training, writing development, conversational practice, and formative assessment. It also addresses pedagogical, ethical, and equity-related challenges, including over-reliance on AI tools, data privacy, the digital divide, and the evolving role of the teacher. The article concludes that AI does not replace the language teacher but rather augments instruction, offering scalable, learner-centered support that can advance proficiency outcomes when guided by sound pedagogy.

Keywords: artificial intelligence, ESL education, English language teaching, ChatGPT, personalized learning, language acquisition, educational technology, adaptive learning, intelligent tutoring systems.

Annotatsiya. Mazkur maqolada sun'iy intellektning (SI) ingliz tilini chet tili sifatida o'qitish (ESL) sohasiga ko'rsatayotgan o'zgartiruvchi ta'siri tahlil qilinadi. Yirik til modellari, intellektual o'qitish tizimlari, nutqni avtomatik tanish texnologiyalari va adaptiv ta'lim platformalari kabi SI texnologiyalarining til sinflariga keng joriy etilishi ingliz tilini o'qitish, o'rganish va baholash usullarini o'zgartirib bormoqda. So'nggi empirik va nazariy adabiyotlarga tayangan holda maqolada SIning shaxsiylashtirilgan o'qitish, talaffuzni mashq qilish, yozma nutqni rivojlantirish, og'zaki muloqot amaliyoti va shakllantiruvchi baholashga qo'shgan hissasi tahlil qilinadi. Shuningdek, SI vositalariga haddan tashqari bog'lanish, shaxsiy ma'lumotlar maxfiyligi, raqamli tengsizlik va o'qituvchi rolining o'zgarishi kabi pedagogik, axloqiy va ijtimoiy muammolar muhokama qilinadi. Maqola xulosasida ta'kidlanishicha, SI til o'qituvchisining o'rnini bosmaydi, balki uning faoliyatini to'ldiradi va to'g'ri metodik yondashuv asosida qo'llanilganda til kompetensiyasini rivojlantirishga xizmat qiluvchi miqyoslanuvchi va o'quvchi-markazli yordamni taqdim etadi.

Kalit so'zlar: sun'iy intellekt, ingliz tilini o'qitish, ESL, ChatGPT, shaxsiylashtirilgan o'qitish, til o'rganish, ta'lim texnologiyalari, adaptiv o'qitish, intellektual o'qitish tizimlari.

Introduction. The integration of artificial intelligence into language education has shifted from a speculative possibility to a defining feature of contemporary classroom practice. Within only a few years, tools such as ChatGPT, Grammarly, Duolingo's adaptive engine, ELSA Speak, and a growing range of intelligent tutoring systems have moved from novel experiments to everyday resources used by millions of English learners around the world. For students learning English as a Second Language (ESL), these technologies provide what was previously inaccessible to most: unlimited conversational practice, immediate corrective feedback, personalized content, and

twenty-four-hour availability. For teachers, they raise pressing questions about methodology, assessment integrity, professional identity, and the very purpose of language instruction.

This article argues that artificial intelligence is genuinely transformative for ESL education, but its transformation is neither automatic nor uniformly positive. The value of AI in language learning depends heavily on how it is deployed: as a substitute for human interaction it tends to disappoint, while as an augmentation of communicative, contextualized teaching it can dramatically expand what learners are able to do. The paper proceeds by tracing the historical trajectory from computer-assisted language learning (CALL) to today's generative-AI landscape, surveying the major technologies and their pedagogical applications, weighing the benefits against documented risks, and considering how the role of the ESL teacher is being reshaped rather than diminished.

The discussion is particularly relevant for multilingual contexts such as Central Asia, where English functions as an academic and professional lingua franca and where access to qualified teachers, authentic input, and conversation partners remains uneven. In such settings AI tools do not merely offer convenience; they offer a potential equalizing force, provided that questions of access, ethics, and pedagogy are taken seriously.

From CALL to AI-Enhanced Language Learning: A Brief Historical Trajectory. To appreciate why current developments feel different, it helps to recall the longer trajectory. Warschauer and Healey (1998) outlined three historical phases of computer use in language learning: behaviorist CALL of the 1960s and 1970s, dominated by drill-and-practice software; communicative CALL of the 1980s and early 1990s, which emphasized meaningful use and interaction; and integrative CALL, beginning in the late 1990s, characterized by the use of multimedia and the internet as authentic resources. Chapelle and Sauro (2017) and Kessler (2018) extended this story into the era of mobile, social, and cloud-based learning, in which technology became ambient rather than situated in a computer lab.

What distinguishes the present moment is not simply faster hardware or richer content but a qualitative change in what machines can do with language itself. Earlier CALL applications operated on pre-authored content with limited branching. Large language models, by contrast, generate fluent, context-aware text in real time, hold extended conversations, and adapt to a learner's level on the fly. As Godwin-Jones (2022) observes, intelligent writing assistants and conversational agents have moved language technology from a delivery medium to a participant in the learning interaction. This is the shift that justifies the word "transformative."

Generative AI systems built on large language models (LLMs) — ChatGPT being the most widely cited example — produce contextually appropriate English on demand. For ESL learners, this means the long-standing scarcity of accessible conversation partners has effectively ended. Kohnke, Moorhouse, and Zou (2023) describe how chatbots can serve as patient, non-judgmental interlocutors for speaking practice, generate authentic-sounding reading texts at controlled difficulty levels, simulate role-plays, and offer instant explanations of vocabulary and grammar. Bin-Hady, Al-Kadi,

Hazaea, and Ali (2023) similarly report enthusiasm among learners across multiple countries for the way ChatGPT supports both productive and receptive skills.

Automatic speech recognition (ASR), once notoriously error-prone with non-native English, has reached a level of accuracy that supports meaningful pronunciation training. Tools such as ELSA Speak, Speechling, and the speaking modules embedded in mainstream language apps detect specific phonetic deviations and provide targeted feedback. Zou, Liviero, Hao, and Wei (2020) document how learners of English for Academic Purposes value AI speaking practice for its low affective filter — they speak more freely because they feel less judged — while also noting that machine feedback remains less nuanced than expert human assessment.

Writing has been the area most rapidly transformed. Automated writing evaluation systems such as Grammarly, ProWritingAid, and Microsoft Editor flag surface errors, suggest improvements in style, and increasingly explain the rationale for their suggestions. Generative models go further, offering paraphrases, structural feedback, and model texts. Godwin-Jones (2022) argues that the pedagogical question is no longer whether learners will use these tools — they will — but how teachers can help students use them as scaffolds for genuine learning rather than as substitutes for thought.

DeepL, Google Translate, and Yandex.Translate are now part of the learner's working environment whether teachers acknowledge it or not. Rather than treating translation tools as threats to language learning, recent scholarship reframes them as resources to be used critically. Kessler (2018) and Kohnke et al. (2023) both stress the importance of translation literacy: learners need to understand what machine translation does well, where it fails, and how to use it without bypassing the cognitive work that builds proficiency.3.6 Adaptive Assessment

AI tools can transform texts to match a learner's level, generate comprehension questions, define vocabulary in context, and produce parallel-language glosses on demand. This supports extensive reading at the right level, which decades of research identify as one of the most effective interventions for vocabulary growth and reading fluency. Tools such as Read Theory and various AI-enhanced graded readers automate what was previously a labor-intensive teacher task.

Beyond high-stakes testing, AI supports the smaller, more frequent checks for understanding that drive day-to-day learning. Dashboards aggregate learner performance data and highlight patterns — a class consistently weak on conditional sentences, a particular student avoiding speaking tasks — that teachers can act on. Used well, learning analytics make ESL teaching more evidence-informed; used poorly, they reduce learning to whatever is easily measured.

The advantages of AI in ESL fall into several broad categories. AI dramatically increases access to comprehensible input and interactive output, two ingredients that second language acquisition research has long identified as essential. It scales individualized attention to a degree no teacher-to-student ratio could match. It supports learner autonomy by giving students the tools to investigate language questions on their own. It reduces affective barriers for self-conscious learners. And it provides geographically and economically disadvantaged students with resources that would otherwise be confined to well-funded institutions. Crompton and Burke (2023),

reviewing AI applications across higher education, find consistent evidence of positive effects on engagement, motivation, and certain learning outcomes — though they note that high-quality experimental research remains scarcer than enthusiastic advocacy.

Challenges, Limitations, and Ethical Concerns

Realistic appraisal requires acknowledging that the same features that make AI powerful also make it problematic.

Accuracy and hallucination. Generative models confidently produce incorrect grammar explanations, invented idioms, and false cultural information. Learners with limited proficiency cannot easily detect these errors, making teacher mediation essential.

Over-reliance and cognitive offloading. When learners outsource difficulty rather than working through it, the very struggles that build proficiency are bypassed. Selwyn (2019) warns more broadly that educational AI risks producing the appearance of learning without its substance.

Assessment integrity. Written assignments completed by or with generative AI complicate traditional evaluation. Institutions are responding with redesigned assessments — oral defenses, in-class writing, process portfolios — but the transition is uneven.

Data privacy and surveillance. Most consumer AI tools collect learner data on a scale that schools rarely scrutinize. For minors and in jurisdictions with strong data protection laws, this is a serious ethical and legal issue.

The Evolving Role of the ESL Teacher

A recurring fear is that AI will replace language teachers. The evidence, and the conceptual logic, point the other way. AI handles drills, explanations, and surface-level feedback efficiently, but it cannot do the things that distinguish expert language teaching: building a classroom community, reading individual learners' emotional states, designing curriculum with real-world purposes in mind, exercising professional judgment about what to teach when, and modeling the human use of language for human ends. Selwyn (2019) frames this not as reassurance but as a reframing: the question is not whether teachers will be replaced but what their work should now consist of.

In practice, the role is shifting toward curriculum designer, coach, ethical guide, and orchestrator of AI-enhanced learning environments. Teachers increasingly need not only language teaching expertise but AI literacy: the ability to evaluate tools, design tasks that integrate them productively, and help learners develop a critical relationship with the technology. Kohnke et al. (2023) propose specific competencies for this expanded role, including the ability to craft effective prompts, recognize AI limitations, and address ethical issues with learners directly.Several developments are likely to shape ESL education in the coming years. Multimodal AI that integrates speech, vision, and text will support richer task-based interactions, including AI-mediated extended role-plays and immersive scenarios. Specialized educational models, fine-tuned on pedagogical content and supervised against accuracy benchmarks, will reduce the hallucination problem that limits general-purpose models in language teaching. Tighter integration between AI tools and learning management systems will make data-informed instruction routine. And policy frameworks — at the institutional, national, and international level — will mature,

addressing questions of data, assessment, and equitable access that current practice largely improvises.

For research, the priority is moving beyond enthusiasm and anecdote toward rigorous study of which AI configurations actually advance which learning outcomes, for whom, and under what conditions. The methodological challenge is considerable, since the technology evolves faster than most research cycles, but the field is beginning to respond.

Conclusion. Artificial intelligence is genuinely transforming ESL education. It expands access to input, interaction, and feedback to a degree that earlier generations of language technology only approximated. It shifts writing instruction from end-product evaluation to ongoing dialogue, makes speaking practice available to learners who have no other interlocutor, and enables a level of personalization that human teachers alone cannot provide. These are not marginal improvements; they reshape what is possible in a language classroom.

For ESL teachers, the implication is clear: AI does not make the profession obsolete; it raises the floor of routine support that learners can access on their own and, in doing so, raises the ceiling of what human teachers can focus on. The teachers who will thrive in this environment are not those who resist AI nor those who surrender to it, but those who develop the literacy to use it deliberately and the judgment to know when not to.

References

1. Bin-Hady, W. R. A., Al-Kadi, A., Hazaea, A. N., & Ali, J. K. M. (2023). Exploring the dimensions of ChatGPT in English language learning: A global perspective. Library Hi Tech. Advance online publication.
2. Chapelle, C. A., & Sauro, S. (Eds.). (2017). The Handbook of Technology and Second Language Teaching and Learning. Wiley-Blackwell.
3. Crompton, H., & Burke, D. (2023). Artificial intelligence in higher education: The state of the field. International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 20(1), 22. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-023-00392-8
4. Godwin-Jones, R. (2022). Partnering with AI: Intelligent writing assistance and instructed language learning. Language Learning & Technology, 26(2), 5–24.
5. Hwang, G. J., Xie, H., Wah, B. W., & Gašević, D. (2020). Vision, challenges, roles and research issues of Artificial Intelligence in Education. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 1, 100001.
6. Kessler, G. (2018). Technology and the future of language teaching. Foreign Language Annals, 51(1), 205–218. https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.12318
7. Kohnke, L., Moorhouse, B. L., & Zou, D. (2023). ChatGPT for language teaching and learning. RELC Journal, 54(2), 537–550.
8. Kukulska-Hulme, A. (2020). Mobile-assisted language learning. In C. A. Chapelle (Ed.), The Concise Encyclopedia of Applied Linguistics (pp. 707–714). Wiley-Blackwell.
9. Mollick, E. R., & Mollick, L. (2023). Assigning AI: Seven approaches for students, with prompts. The Wharton School Research Paper.
10. Pegrum, M. (2019). Mobile Lenses on Learning: Languages and Literacies on the Move. Springer.