INNOVATIVE WORLD

ISSN: 3030-3079

ORIENTAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

SHARQ AKADEMIK VA KO'P TARMOQLI TADQIQOTLAR JURNALI

Scientific Journal

2026/6

www.innoworld.net
+998 33 5668868

ORIENTAL JOURNAL OF ACADEMIC AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH

Volume 4, Issue 6
2026

Journal has been listed in different indexings

Google Scholar · digital object identifier · ResearchGate · zenodo · ADVANCED SCIENCE INDEX · OpenAIRE · Academic Resource Index ResearchBib · DRJI Directory of Research Journals Indexing

The offical website of the journal:
www.innoworld.net

Uzbekistan-2026

THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL CUSTOMS COOPERATION IN REGULATING EXPORT AND IMPORT FLOWS: EVIDENCE FROM GLOBAL FRAMEWORKS AND UZBEKISTAN

Tokhtasinova Dildora Rakhmonberdiyevna
Associate Professor, Department of Economics and Tourism, Fergana State University, PhD

ABSTRACT

Background: International customs cooperation has become a core instrument of trade governance because customs administrations are expected to facilitate legitimate trade while controlling fiscal, sanitary, security and compliance risks. The problem is especially relevant for transition and landlocked economies, where border procedures, transit coordination and documentary requirements can materially affect export competitiveness and import costs. Objective: The article evaluates how international customs cooperation mechanisms influence export-import flows, with emphasis on the World Customs Organization (WCO), the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA), mutual recognition instruments, digital customs services and Uzbekistan's ongoing customs reforms. Methods: The study applies a mixed desk-based design combining systematic literature synthesis, institutional document analysis and descriptive trade-data analysis. Sources include WTO and WCO documents, the World Bank Logistics Performance Index, Uzbekistan's official foreign trade statistics for 2022-2024, and publicly available information from the Uzbek customs single-window platform. The analysis focuses on the channels through which cooperation affects trade flows: cost reduction, clearance-time predictability, risk management, transparency and digitalization. Results: The review shows that customs cooperation affects trade flows primarily by reducing procedural duplication, strengthening advance information exchange, improving risk-based control and supporting digital border management. WTO estimates indicate that full TFA implementation can reduce trade costs by an average of 14.3 percent. For Uzbekistan, official 2024 data show foreign trade turnover of USD 65.934 billion, exports of USD 26.948 billion and imports of USD 38.986 billion, confirming the scale of trade flows exposed to customs-administration quality. The presence of electronic declaration, preliminary information submission and integrated tariff services indicates progress, but the remaining policy challenge is to convert formal digital tools into measurable reductions in clearance time, compliance costs and documentary burden. Conclusion: International customs cooperation is not only a legal or administrative issue; it is an economic mechanism that can improve trade efficiency when supported by institutional capacity, interoperable data systems and transparent implementation. Uzbekistan's WTO accession process and customs modernization agenda should therefore be assessed not merely by the adoption of regulations, but by operational indicators such as clearance time, inspection selectivity, appeal efficiency and trader compliance costs.

Keywords: international customs cooperation, trade facilitation, customs digitalization, WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement, WCO, export-import regulation, Uzbekistan

1. INTRODUCTION

The acceleration of globalization since the 1980s has changed the functional role of customs administrations. Historically, customs authorities were mainly associated with revenue collection and border control. In contemporary international trade, however, customs administrations operate as multi-functional institutions responsible for fiscal control, supply-chain security, trade facilitation, risk management, statistical reporting and protection of society from prohibited or unsafe goods.

This transformation has made national customs performance increasingly dependent on international cooperation. Export and import operations normally involve several jurisdictions, different documentary systems, transit procedures, valuation practices, classification rules and risk-control regimes. When these procedures are not coordinated, traders face duplicated documentation, unpredictable inspections, delays at borders and higher compliance costs. Conversely, when customs administrations exchange information, harmonize procedures and recognize compatible control systems, the movement of goods becomes faster and more predictable.

International customs cooperation can be defined as the coordinated action of customs administrations through legal agreements, procedural harmonization, data exchange, joint risk management, mutual administrative assistance, mutual recognition of trusted traders, and digital interoperability. It is promoted globally by the World Customs Organization (WCO) through instruments such as the Revised Kyoto Convention, the SAFE Framework of Standards and the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System. At the multilateral trade level, the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement has created binding disciplines on transparency, release and clearance of goods, cooperation between border agencies, and technical assistance for developing economies.

For Uzbekistan, the issue has heightened importance. The country is doubly landlocked, relies on transit corridors for many external trade routes, and is pursuing deeper integration into global trade frameworks, including WTO accession. In such conditions, customs cooperation does not simply simplify paperwork; it affects the cost structure of exports, the speed of imports, the reliability of supply chains, the attractiveness of the country for investors and the competitiveness of domestic producers.

The research problem addressed in this article is that many studies discuss trade facilitation in general terms, but fewer explain the specific mechanisms through which customs cooperation regulates export-import flows in transition economies. The objective of this article is therefore to identify these mechanisms, synthesize evidence from international frameworks and evaluate their relevance for Uzbekistan's customs modernization agenda. The article contributes by linking institutional cooperation mechanisms to operational trade outcomes and by proposing measurable indicators for assessing the effectiveness of customs cooperation in Uzbekistan.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study uses a mixed desk-based research design. The approach is appropriate because the object of analysis - international customs cooperation - is institutional, legal and operational in nature, while its economic relevance can be observed through trade-cost estimates, foreign trade statistics and the availability of digital customs services.

The methodology consists of three components. First, a systematic literature synthesis was conducted to identify the main theoretical and empirical channels linking customs cooperation to trade outcomes. The review covered peer-reviewed studies and institutional publications on trade facilitation, customs modernization, border management, authorized economic operator programs, mutual recognition agreements, risk management and digital customs. Priority was given to sources published from 2017 onward because this period follows the entry into force of the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement.

Second, institutional document analysis was used to examine the main cooperation frameworks: WCO instruments, the WTO TFA, national customs digital-service information, and Uzbekistan's WTO accession-related reform context. Documents were analyzed according to five criteria: transparency, release and clearance procedures, interagency cooperation, information exchange, and digitalization.

Third, descriptive trade-data analysis was applied to official foreign trade statistics of Uzbekistan for 2022-2024, with a focus on export volume, import volume, trade turnover and trade balance. This descriptive approach does not claim to establish direct causality between customs reform and trade growth. Its purpose is narrower and more defensible: to show the scale of export-import flows affected by customs administration quality and to identify which operational indicators should be measured in future causal research.

The study has three limitations. First, publicly available sources do not provide a complete time series of shipment-level clearance times by commodity, border post or control channel. Second, customs cooperation is only one determinant of trade flows; exchange rates, global demand, commodity prices, transport infrastructure, industrial policy and geopolitical conditions also influence export-import volumes. Third, some digital-service availability indicators show institutional progress but do not by themselves prove that traders experience lower costs. These limitations are addressed by avoiding unsupported causal claims and by proposing a more precise empirical agenda for future research.

Table 1. Research design and analytical indicators

Component	Data/source category	Analytical focus	Expected output
Literature synthesis	Peer-reviewed and institutional studies	Trade-cost channels, border delays, risk management, AEO/MRA effects	Mechanism map
Institutional analysis	WCO, WTO TFA, customs e-services, accession documents	Legal and procedural alignment with international standards	Policy matrix
Descriptive statistics	Official Uzbekistan foreign trade data, 2022-2024	Trade turnover, exports, imports and deficit	Contextual evidence for reform relevance
Limitations assessment	Public data availability and methodological constraints	Causal-identification risks and missing operational indicators	Future research agenda

3. RESULTS

3.1 Institutional architecture of customs cooperation

International customs cooperation operates through a multilayered architecture. At the global customs level, the WCO provides the technical and normative basis for harmonized customs procedures. The Revised Kyoto Convention emphasizes simplification and harmonization, the SAFE Framework links supply-chain security with trade facilitation, and the Harmonized System creates a common classification language for traded goods.

At the multilateral trade level, the WTO TFA is the central legally binding instrument. It requires members to improve transparency, publish procedures, establish appeal or review mechanisms, provide advance rulings, expedite release and clearance, promote border-agency cooperation and support customs cooperation. WTO estimates that full implementation of the TFA can reduce global trade costs by an average of 14.3 percent. This figure is economically significant because trade costs often operate like a hidden tariff: they raise the final cost of imports and reduce the competitiveness of exports.

At the bilateral and regional levels, cooperation is implemented through mutual administrative assistance agreements, mutual recognition arrangements for authorized economic operators, transit agreements, regional single-window initiatives and joint risk-management operations. These arrangements reduce duplication and make control more selective: trusted and low-risk shipments can be processed faster while enforcement resources are concentrated on high-risk consignments.

3.2 Mechanisms through which customs cooperation regulates export-import flows

Information exchange and advance data submission: Pre-arrival data allow customs authorities to conduct risk assessment before goods arrive. This can reduce unnecessary physical inspections and improve the predictability of release times.

Mutual recognition of trusted traders: AEO and MRA systems reduce repeated controls for compliant firms. Their effect depends on the credibility of national authorization systems and the interoperability of risk-management data.

Risk-based control: Joint risk profiling, enforcement information and targeting systems improve the detection of smuggling, undervaluation, counterfeit goods and sanctions violations while avoiding blanket inspections.

Digital customs and single window: Electronic declaration, integrated tariff information, preliminary information submission and online payment reduce administrative contact points and limit discretionary delays.

Transparency and appeal mechanisms: Published procedures, advance rulings and review systems reduce uncertainty for traders and make customs decisions more predictable.

The mechanisms identified above are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Customs cooperation mechanisms and expected trade-flow effects

Mechanism	Direct operational effect	Expected export-import effect
Advance information exchange	Earlier risk assessment and document verification	Lower border waiting time and more predictable delivery
AEO and mutual recognition	Reduced repeated inspections for compliant traders	Lower transaction costs for regular exporters and importers
Joint risk management	More selective enforcement and better targeting	Higher compliance without slowing all trade flows
Digital declaration and single window	Fewer physical submissions and fewer administrative contact points	Lower documentary burden and reduced opportunity for discretionary delay
Transparency, advance rulings and appeals	Greater predictability of classification, valuation	Reduced legal uncertainty and planning costs

	and procedure	

3.3 Descriptive evidence from Uzbekistan

Uzbekistan's trade profile confirms that customs-administration quality affects a large and growing volume of economic activity. According to official foreign trade statistics, the country's foreign trade turnover reached USD 65.934 billion in 2024. Exports amounted to USD 26.948 billion, while imports reached USD 38.986 billion. The trade balance was negative by USD 12.038 billion. These figures show that both export competitiveness and import cost efficiency are materially exposed to the quality of border procedures, documentary requirements and transit coordination.

The structure of trade also matters. Uzbekistan trades with nearly 200 countries, and its main partners include China, Russia, Kazakhstan and Turkiye. This geographical spread requires compatibility with different customs systems and makes the benefits of harmonized procedures, electronic data exchange and predictable transit rules more important. For a landlocked country, delays are not limited to the domestic border; they can accumulate across transit corridors. Customs cooperation therefore operates as a regional connectivity instrument as well as a national administrative reform.

Table 3. Uzbekistan foreign trade indicators, 2024

Indicator	**Value, USD million**	**Interpretation for customs cooperation**
Foreign trade turnover	65,934.0	Total flow exposed to customs procedures and transit coordination
Exports	26,948.2	Export competitiveness depends partly on clearance predictability and documentation costs
Imports	38,985.8	Import costs are affected by clearance time, valuation, tariff information and inspections
Trade balance	-12,037.6	Efficiency gains may reduce business costs but cannot by themselves resolve structural trade imbalance

Source: compiled by the author based on the Statistics Agency under the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Foreign Trade Turnover of the Republic of Uzbekistan, January-December 2024.

Uzbekistan has also introduced digital customs instruments, including electronic declaration of goods, submission of foreign trade contract data, preliminary electronic information on goods and vehicles, and integrated tariff services. These tools are consistent with the TFA logic because they shift customs processing from paper-based and border-centered procedures toward pre-arrival, data-driven and risk-based control. However, availability of e-services is not the same as full operational effectiveness. The decisive indicators are actual usage rates, clearance-time reductions, risk-channel distribution, number of physical inspections, trader satisfaction and the frequency of successful appeals.

The policy implication is that Uzbekistan should move from reform-description indicators to performance indicators. A customs reform can be considered effective only if it produces measurable improvements in the release of goods, reduction of documentary burden, accuracy of risk targeting, and transparency of customs decisions.

3.4 Policy gap matrix for Uzbekistan

Table 4. Customs cooperation reform gaps and practical indicators for Uzbekistan

Area	Current direction	Main risk	Recommended measurable indicator
Digital customs	Electronic declaration and single-window services	Low adoption or parallel paper requirements	Share of declarations submitted fully electronically
Risk management	AI and data-driven risk profiling	Over-inspection or weak targeting	Share of consignments released through green/yellow/red channels
Transparency	Publication of rules and procedures	Formal publication without practical usability	Number of advance rulings issued and average response time
Trader trust	Appeal and review mechanisms	Low use due to cost or uncertainty	Appeals filed, resolved and decided in trader favor
Regional connectivity	Transport corridors and transit cooperation	Transit delays outside national border control	Average transit time by corridor and border post

4. DISCUSSION

The findings support the argument that international customs cooperation regulates export-import flows through operational rather than purely declarative mechanisms. The most important channels are reduced duplication, faster information exchange, risk-based selectivity, legal predictability and digital interoperability. These channels can reduce costs, but only when legal commitments are translated into daily administrative practice.

A critical point is that customs cooperation does not automatically increase trade volume. Trade flows are shaped by many variables, including production capacity, exchange rates, demand in partner markets, transport costs, commodity prices and geopolitical conditions. Customs reform improves the conditions under which trade occurs, but it cannot substitute for export diversification, industrial upgrading or market access. Therefore, the strongest defensible claim is not that customs cooperation independently causes trade growth, but that it lowers administrative frictions and improves the reliability of export-import operations.

The tradeoff between facilitation and control remains central. Excessive simplification can weaken control over smuggling, undervaluation, counterfeit goods and unsafe products. Excessive control, on the other hand, raises costs for compliant firms and damages competitiveness. Modern customs cooperation attempts to solve this tension through risk management and trusted trader programs. The practical test is whether customs administrations can identify high-risk shipments without imposing unnecessary checks on low-risk trade.

Digitalization creates another tradeoff. Electronic systems can reduce human discretion and accelerate processing, but they also introduce cybersecurity risks, data-protection concerns, interoperability problems and exclusion risks for small firms that lack digital capacity. In Uzbekistan's case, digital customs should therefore be accompanied by trader training, clear user guidance, system reliability audits and mechanisms for resolving technical failures.

For Uzbekistan, the main policy challenge is implementation depth. Legislative alignment with WCO and WTO standards is necessary but insufficient. The country needs a performance-measurement system that connects reforms to outcomes: average clearance time, documentary compliance cost, inspection frequency, number of advance rulings, appeal outcomes, use of electronic services and private-sector satisfaction. Without such indicators, reforms remain difficult to evaluate and vulnerable to purely formal reporting.

5. CONCLUSION

This article examined the role of international customs cooperation in regulating export and import flows through global institutional frameworks and the case of Uzbekistan. The analysis shows that customs cooperation matters because it reduces procedural fragmentation, strengthens risk-based control, improves transparency and supports digital border management.

Three conclusions follow. First, the WTO TFA and WCO instruments provide a coherent framework for lowering trade frictions, but their economic effect depends on implementation quality. Second, Uzbekistan's foreign trade volume makes customs modernization economically significant: in 2024, more than USD 65.9 billion in foreign trade turnover was exposed to customs and border-management procedures. Third, Uzbekistan's digital customs services and WTO accession-related reforms create a practical foundation for deeper integration, but the next stage must focus on measurable performance rather than formal adoption alone.

The article recommends that Uzbekistan's customs reform agenda prioritize five indicators: average release time for imports and exports; the share of declarations processed fully electronically; the proportion of shipments assigned to risk channels; the number and timeliness of advance rulings; and trader satisfaction with appeal and consultation mechanisms. These indicators would allow policymakers to distinguish between formal harmonization and real trade facilitation.

Future research should use shipment-level or firm-level data to estimate the causal effect of specific customs reforms on clearance time, trade costs and export performance. A comparative Central Asian study would also be valuable because landlocked economies face similar transit and border-cooperation constraints

REFERENCES

1. Duval, Y., & Utoktham, C. (2011). Trade facilitation in Asia and the Pacific: Which policies and measures affect trade costs the most? Asia-Pacific Research and Training Network on Trade Working Paper.

2. Grainger, A. (2011). Trade facilitation: A conceptual review. Journal of World Trade, 45(1), 39-62.

3. Grainger, A. (2020). Customs and trade facilitation: From concepts to implementation. Journal of World Trade, 54(3), 375-400.

4. Holloway, S., & Rae, J. (2021). Trade facilitation and customs cooperation: A global perspective. The World Economy, 44(6), 1600-1625.

5. Korinek, J., & Sourdin, P. (2011). To what extent are high-quality logistics services trade facilitating? OECD Trade Policy Papers.

6. Moise, E., Orliac, T., & Minor, P. (2011). Trade facilitation indicators: The impact on trade costs. OECD Trade Policy Papers, No. 118.

7. Portugal-Perez, A., & Wilson, J. S. (2012). Export performance and trade facilitation reform: Hard and soft infrastructure. World Development, 40(7), 1295-1307.

8. Statistics Agency under the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2025). Foreign Trade Turnover of the Republic of Uzbekistan: January-December 2024. Tashkent.

9. United Nations Economic Commission for Europe. (2020). Trade Facilitation Implementation Guide. Geneva: UNECE.

10. United Nations Conference on Trade and Development. (2016). Trade Facilitation and Development: Driving Trade Competitiveness, Border Agency Effectiveness and Strengthened Governance. Geneva: UNCTAD.

11. Wilson, J. S., Mann, C. L., & Otsuki, T. (2005). Assessing the benefits of trade facilitation: A global perspective. The World Economy, 28(6), 841-871.

12. World Bank. (2023). Logistics Performance Index 2023. Washington, DC: World Bank.

13. World Customs Organization. (1999). International Convention on the Simplification and Harmonization of Customs Procedures (Revised Kyoto Convention). Brussels: WCO.

14. World Customs Organization. (2021). SAFE Framework of Standards to Secure and Facilitate Global Trade. Brussels: WCO.

15. World Customs Organization. (2022). WCO Data Model and digital customs guidance materials. Brussels: WCO.

16. World Trade Organization. (2015). World Trade Report 2015: Speeding up trade - benefits and challenges of implementing the WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement. Geneva: WTO.

17. World Trade Organization. (2017). Trade Facilitation Agreement. Geneva: WTO.

18. World Trade Organization. (2017). WTO Trade Facilitation Agreement enters into force. Geneva: WTO.

19. World Trade Organization. (2024). Uzbekistan advances WTO accession negotiations. Geneva: WTO.

20. Customs Committee under the Ministry of Economy and Finance of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2026). Single Window: List of customs e-services. Tashkent.